

MEDICAL POLICY



MEDICAL POLICY DETAILS	
Medical Policy Title	PERCUTANEOUS POSTERIOR TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION (PPTNS)
Policy Number	8.01.22
Category	Technology Assessment
Effective Date	03/17/11
Revised Date	03/15/12, 03/21/13, 03/20/14, 03/19/15, 03/17/16, 4/20/17, 04/19/18, 04/18/19
Product Disclaimer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If a product excludes coverage for a service, it is not covered, and medical policy criteria do not apply. • If a commercial product (including an Essential Plan product) or a Medicaid product covers a specific service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit. • If a Medicare product covers a specific service, and there is no national or local Medicare coverage decision for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.

POLICY STATEMENT

- I. Based upon our criteria and assessment of peer-reviewed literature, percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation (PPTNS) has been medically proven effective and is considered **medically appropriate** as a treatment modality for patients with voiding dysfunction (NOT related to a neurological condition) who meet ALL the following criteria:
 - A. Failure of conservative behavioral therapies of at least Three months duration; AND
 - B. Failure of pharmacological therapy that includes at least two anticholinergic or beta-3 adrenergic agonist medications and/or smooth muscle relaxants OR patient has a contraindication to pharmacological therapy.
- II. Based upon our criteria and assessment of peer-reviewed literature, percutaneous PPTNS has not been medically proven to be effective and is considered **investigational** for all other uses, including, but not limited to: voiding dysfunction due to a neurological condition, constipation, fecal incontinence and chronic pelvic pain.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #1.01.19 regarding Pelvic Floor Electrical Stimulation as a Treatment for Urinary or Fecal Incontinence.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #7.01.10 regarding Sacral Nerve Stimulation.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #11.01.06 regarding Experimental or Investigational Services.

POLICY GUIDELINES

- I. Treatment sessions of 12 weekly office visits are considered medically appropriate. Then, once monthly maintenance therapy will be considered if the patient has exhibited at least a 50% improvement in voiding symptoms (based on documentation such as patient voiding diaries) after the initial 12 sessions. Maintenance therapy is also dependent on documentation of a continued treatment response.
- II. The Federal Employee Health Benefit Program (FEHBP/FEP) requires that procedures, devices or laboratory tests approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) may not be considered investigational and thus these procedures, devices or laboratory tests may be assessed only on the basis of their medical necessity.

DESCRIPTION

PPTNS is an office-based procedure that utilizes electrical neuromodulation in the treatment of voiding dysfunction in patients who have failed conservative therapies (e.g., behavioral, pharmacological). Voiding dysfunction includes urinary frequency, urgency, incontinence, and nonobstructive retention and is usually initially treated with behavioral interventions and/or medications such as anticholinergics. Behavioral therapies include (but are not are not limited to) fluid management, bladder training/timed voiding, and physiotherapy.

The procedure for PPTNS consists of the insertion of a needle above the medial malleolus into the posterior tibial nerve followed by the application of low-voltage (10mA, 1–10 Hz frequency) electrical stimulation that produces sensory and

Medical Policy: PERCUTANEOUS POSTERIOR TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION (PPTNS)

Policy Number: 8.01.22

Page: 2 of 6

motor responses (e.g., a tickling sensation and plantar flexion or fanning of all toes). The recommended course of treatment is an initial series of 12 weekly office-based treatments followed by an individualized maintenance treatment schedule.

While the posterior tibial nerve is located near the ankle, it is derived from the lumbar-sacral nerves (L4-S3), which control the bladder detrusor and perineal floor. Altering the function of the posterior tibial nerve with posterior tibial nerve stimulation (PPTNS) is believed to improve voiding function and control.

Noninvasive PTNS has also been delivered with surface electrodes (transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation or TPTNS). TPTNS is not addressed in this medical policy.

RATIONALE

In July 2005, the Urgent® PC Neuromodulation System (Uroplasty, Inc.) received 510(k) marketing clearance from the FDA for percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation to treat patients suffering from urinary urgency, urinary frequency, and urge incontinence. In 2010, the cleared indication was changed to “overactive bladder” (OAB) and associated symptoms of urinary urgency, urinary frequency, and urge incontinence.” The Urgent PC Neuromodulation System is not FDA-cleared for other indications, such as the treatment of fecal incontinence.

Two randomized controlled trials evaluating percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for treating patients diagnosed with OAB syndrome have been published. In 2009, Peters and colleagues published an industry-sponsored non-blinded comparison of PTNS and extended-release tolterodine (Detrol LA) in women with OAB syndrome (the OrBIT trial). The study included 100 patients (50 per group). A total of 87 of the 100 (87%) of patients completed the study and voiding diary data were available for 84 patients, 41 of 50 (82%) in the PTNS group and 43 of 50 (86%) in the tolterodine group. The primary outcome was the non-inferiority of PTNS in the mean reduction in the number of voids per 24 hours after 12 weeks of treatment. Non-inferiority was defined as no more than a 20% difference in the mean void reduction. Study findings showed non-inferiority of PTNS based on results for 84 patients. The study also reported a number of secondary outcomes and findings on these were mixed. There were no statistically significant differences in the PTNS and tolterodine groups for other symptoms recorded in the voiding diary; this includes mean change in episodes of nocturia, episodes of moderate to severe urgency per day and episodes of urge incontinence per day. In other secondary outcomes, 35 of 44 patients (79.5%) in the PTNS group and 23 of 42 (54.8%) in the tolterodine group reported symptom improvement or cure. This difference was statistically significant ($p=0.01$), favoring the PTNS group. However, the proportion of patients reporting symptom improvement (excluding the 3 patients reporting that they were cured) did not differ significantly between groups, 34 of 44 (77.3%) of those receiving PTNS and 21 of 42 (50%) receiving tolterodine. Limitations of the OrBIT trial included the lack of blinding of patients and providers, and the lack of comparative data beyond the end of the initial 12-week treatment period.

The second randomized controlled trial, also industry-sponsored, was published by Peters and colleagues in 2010 (SUMiT trial). The eligibility criteria included a score of at least four on the overactive bladder questionnaire (OAB-q) short form for urgency, self-report bladder symptoms lasting at least three months, and having failed conservative care. A total of 220 patients were randomized, 110 to the PTNS group and 110 to the sham group. Both groups received 12 weekly 30-minute intervention sessions. The 12-week course of treatment was completed by 103 of 110 (94%) in the PTNS group and 105 of 110 (95%) in the sham group. The primary study outcome was response to treatment based on a single-item global response assessment (GRA). The proportion of patients who responded to treatment based on the GRA (i.e., answered that symptoms were moderately or markedly improved) was 60 of 110 (54.5%) in the PTNS group and 23 of 110 (20.9%) in the sham group; this difference was statistically significant, $p<0.001$. Intention-to-treat analysis was used for the primary endpoint only. Several secondary outcomes also favored the PTNS group. The mean reduction in a symptom severity score (a lower score indicates less severity) was 36.7 in the PTNS group and 29.2 in the sham group, $p=0.01$. Similarly, the mean reduction in a quality of life scale, the SF-36 (a higher score indicates higher quality of life), was 34.2 in the PTNS group and 20.6 in the sham group, $p=0.006$. A limitation to this study was that the primary outcome, the GRA, was a single-item subjective measure. In addition, the SUMiT trial only reported comparative data immediately following the initial course of treatment; the study did not evaluate the long-term effectiveness of PTNS. Unlike medication which can be taken on an ongoing basis, PTNS involves an initial 12-week course of treatment followed by maintenance therapy, which to date has not been well-defined. Therefore, the assumption cannot be made that short-term treatment effects will be maintained.

Medical Policy: PERCUTANEOUS POSTERIOR TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION (PPTNS)

Policy Number: 8.01.22

Page: 3 of 6

In 2010, MacDiarmid and colleagues reported one-year follow-up data for patients from the OrBIT trial who had been assigned to the PTNS group and had responded to the initial course of treatment, defined as reporting symptom improvement at 12 weeks. 33 of the 35 responders were included. They received a mean of 12.1 (SD=4.9) treatments between the 12-week and 12-month visits, and there was a median of 17 days between treatments. Data were available for 32 of the 33 (97%) participants at six months and 25 of the 33 (76%) participants at 12 months. The mean reduction in number of voids per day from baseline (the original primary outcome of the study) was 3.2 (SD=3.7) at six months and 2.8 (SD=3.7) at 12 months. Other voiding diary outcomes at 12 months, based on 25 responses, were mean changes in nocturia episodes of -0.8, in episodes of moderate to severe urgency per day of -3.7 and in episodes of urge incontinence per day of -1.6. As noted above, this analysis was limited in that no data from the tolterodine group were available to compare long-term outcomes. Another limitation was that only PTNS responders were included, rather than all of the patients assigned to PTNS treatment.

Prior to publication of the two randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in patients with OAB syndrome, several case series were published. One study, published in 2006 by van der Pal and colleagues, analyzed quality of life questionnaires from 29 patients who were treated with PTNS (three times per week for four weeks) for urge urinary incontinence. At least 12 of the subjects had either no change or an increase in the number of pads used. Another study, published in 2007, assessed the efficacy of 12 weekly sessions of PTNS in 15 patients with chronic pelvic pain. The investigators found subjective improvements in VAS pain scores (8.1 to 4.1) and VAS urgency (4.5 to 2.7), with no change in the number of voids or bladder volume.

CODES

- Eligibility for reimbursement is based upon the benefits set forth in the member's subscriber contract.
- **CODES MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES. PLEASE READ THE POLICY AND GUIDELINES STATEMENTS CAREFULLY.**
- Codes may not be all inclusive as the AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.

CPT Codes

Code	Description
64566	Posterior tibial neurostimulation, percutaneous needle electrode, single treatment, includes programming

Copyright © 2019 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL

HCPCS Codes

Code	Description
No specific HCPCS codes	

ICD10 Codes

Code	Description
N39.41-N39.498	Other specified urinary incontinence (code range)
R33.0-R33.9	Retention of urine (code range)
R35.0	Frequency of micturition
R39.15	Urgency of urination

REFERENCES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Comparative effectiveness review number 212. Nonsurgical treatments for urinary incontinence in women: a systematic review update. 2018 Aug

[https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/cer-212-urinary-incontinence-updated_1.pdf] accessed 3/12/19.

Al Asari S, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation vs sacral nerve stimulation for faecal incontinence: a comparative case-matched study. *Colorectal Dis* 2014 Nov;16(11):O393-9.

Medical Policy: PERCUTANEOUS POSTERIOR TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION (PPTNS)

Policy Number: 8.01.22

Page: 4 of 6

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Urinary incontinence in women. ACOG Practice Bulletin, no. 155. 2015 Nov, reaffirmed 2018 [<https://www.acog.org/-/media/Practice-Bulletins/Committee-on-Practice-Bulletins---Gynecology/pb155.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20190312T1715539386>] accessed 3/12/19.

American Urological Association (AUA) and Society of Urodynamics FPMURS. Diagnosis and treatment of overactive bladder (non-neurogenic) in adults/AUA/SUFU guideline 2012 [[https://www.auanet.org/guidelines/incontinence-non-neurogenic-overactive-bladder-\(2012-amended-2014\)](https://www.auanet.org/guidelines/incontinence-non-neurogenic-overactive-bladder-(2012-amended-2014))] 3/12/19.

Arroyo A, et al. Percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation (PPTNS) in faecal incontinence associated with an anal sphincter lesion: results of a prospective study. Int J Surg 2014;12(2):146-149.

BlueCross BlueShield Association. Posterior Tibial Nerve Stimulation for Voiding Dysfunction. Medical Policy Reference manual. Policy # 7.01.106. 2018 Aug 9.

BlueCross BlueShield Association. Technology Evaluation Center. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of voiding dysfunction. 2014 Jan;28(10).

*Burton C, et al. Effectiveness of percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation for overactive bladder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurol Urodyn 2012 Nov;31(8):1206-16.

De la Portilla F, et al. Percutaneous neuromodulation of the posterior tibial nerve for the treatment of faecal incontinence-mid-term results: is retreatment required? Colorectal Dis 2014 Apr;16(4):304-10.

*de Seze M, et al. Transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation for treatment of the overactive bladder syndrome in multiple sclerosis: results of a multicenter prospective study. Neurourol Urodyn 2011 Mar;30(3):306-11.

Edenfield AL, et al. Posterior tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of fecal incontinence: a systematic evidence review. Obstet Gynecol Surv 2015 May;70(5):329-41.

*Goobi C, et al. percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation as an effective treatment of refractory lower urinary tract symptoms in patients with multiple sclerosis: preliminary data from a multicenter, prospective, open label trial. Mult Scler 2011 Dec;17(12):1514-9.

Gomelsky A, et al. Surgery for urinary incontinence in women: report from the 6th international consultation on incontinence. Neurourol Urodyn 2019 Feb;38(2):825-837.

Gormley EA, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of overactive bladder (non-neurogenic) in adults: AUA/SUFU guideline amendment. J Urol 2015 May;193(5):1572-80.

Horrocks EJ, et al. Systematic review of tibial nerve stimulation to treat faecal incontinence. Br J Surg 2014 Apr;101(5):457-68.

Horrocks EJ, et al. Double-blind randomized controlled trial of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus sham electrical stimulation in the treatment of faecal incontinence: CONtrol of Faecal Incontinence using Distal neuromodulation (the CONFIDeNT trial). Health Technol Assess 2015 Sep;19(77):1-164.

*Hotouras A, et al. Short-term outcome following percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for faecal incontinence: a single-centre prospective study. Colorectal Dis 2012 Sep;14(9):1101-5.

Hotouras A, et al. Outcome of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) for fecal incontinence: A prospective cohort study. Ann Surg 2014 May;259(5):939-43.

Hotouras A, et al. Prospective clinical audit of two neuromodulatory treatment for fecal incontinence: sacral nerve stimulation (SNS) and percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS). Surg Today 2014 Nov;44(11):2124-30.

Istek A, et al. Randomized trial of long-term effects of percutaneous nerve stimulation on chronic pelvic pain. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2014 Aug;290(2):291-8.

*Kabay SC, et al. Acute urodynamic effects of percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation on neurogenic detrusor overactivity in patients with Parkinson's disease. Neurourol Urodyn 2009;28(1):62-7.

Medical Policy: PERCUTANEOUS POSTERIOR TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION (PPTNS)

Policy Number: 8.01.22

Page: 5 of 6

*Kabay S, et al. Efficacy of posterior tibial nerve stimulation in category IIIB chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain: Sham-controlled comparative study. Urol Int 2009;83(1):33-8.

Kabay S, et al. The clinical and urodynamic results of percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation on neurogenic detrusor overactivity in patients with Parkinson's disease. Urology 2016 Jan;87:76-81.

*Karademir K, et al. A peripheral neuromodulation technique for curing detrusor overactivity: Stoller afferent neurostimulation. Scand J Urol Nephrol 2005;39(3):230-3.

Knowles CH, et al. percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus sham electrical stimulation for the treatment of faecal incontinence in adults (CONFIDeNT): a double-blind, multicenter, pragmatic, parallel-group, randomized controlled trial. Lancet 2015 Oct 24;386(10004):1640-8.

Kumar L, et al. Effectiveness of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in managing refractory constipation. Colorect Dis 2017 Jan;19(1):45-49.

*Levin PJ, et al. The efficacy of posterior tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of overactive bladder in women: a systematic review. Int Urogynecol J 2012 Nov;23(11):1591-7.

Lopez-Delgado A, et al. Effect on anal pressure of percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation for faecal incontinence. Colorectal Dis 2014 Jul;16(7):533-7.

Madbouly KM, et al. Bilateral Posterior tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of rectal evacuation disorder: a preliminary report. Dis Colon Rectum 2017 March;60(3):311-317.

*Marchal C, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in treatment of overactive bladder: when should retreatment be started? Urology 2011 Nov;78(5):1046-50.

Moya P, et al. Sacral nerve stimulation versus percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of severe fecal incontinence in men. Tech Coloproctol 2016 May;20(5):317-319.

Musco S, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation improves female sexual function in women with overactive bladder syndrome. J Sex Med 2016 Feb;13(2):238-242.

*Peters K, et al. Randomized trial of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus extended-release tolterodine: results from the overactive bladder innovative therapy trial. J Urol 2009;182(3):1055-61.

*Peters K, et al. Validation of a sham for percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS). Neurourol Urodyn 2009; 28(1):58-61.

*Peters KM, et al. Sustained therapeutic effects of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation: 24-month results of the STEP study. Neurourol Urodyn 2013 Jan;32(1):24-9.

*Peters KM, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) for the long-term treatments of overactive bladder: Three-year results of the STEP study. J Urol 2013 Jun;189(6):2194-201.

Ragab MM, et al. Evaluation of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for treatment of refractory painful bladder syndrome. Urology 2015 Oct;86(4):707-11.

*Rai BP, et al. Anticholinergic drugs versus non-drug active therapies for non-neurogenic overactive bladder in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012 Dec 12;(12):CD003193.

Ruiz-Tovar J, et al. Percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation vs perianal application of glyceryl trinitrate ointment in the treatment of chronic anal fissure: a randomized clinical trial. Dis Colon Rectum 2017 Jan;60(1):81-86.

Salatzi J, et al. Factors influencing return for maintenance treatment with percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for the management of the overactive bladder. BJU Int 2018 Dec 15. [Epub ahead of print]

Scaldazza CV, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus electrical stimulation with pelvic floor muscle training for overactive bladder syndrome in women: results of a randomized controlled study. Int Braz J Urol 2017 Jan-Feb;43(1):121-126.

Medical Policy: PERCUTANEOUS POSTERIOR TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION (PPTNS)

Policy Number: 8.01.22

Page: 6 of 6

Simillis C, et al. Sacral nerve stimulation versus percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for fecal incontinence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Colorect Dis 2018 May;33(5):645-648.

Stewart F, et al. Electrical stimulation with non-implanted electrodes for overactive bladder in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016 Dec 9;(12):CD010098.

Thin NN, et al. randomized clinical trial of sacral versus percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in patients with faecal incontinence. Br J Surg 2015 Mar;102(4):349-58.

Tutolo M, et al. Efficacy and safety of sacral and percutaneous tibial neuromodulation in non-neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction and chronic pelvic pain: a systematic review of the literature. Eur Urol 2018 Jan 11. [Epub ahead of print]

*Van Balken MR, et al. Posterior tibial nerve stimulation as neuromodulative treatment of lower urinary tract dysfunction. J Urol 2001 Sep;166(3):914-8.

*van der Pal F, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of refractory overactive bladder syndrome: is maintenance treatment necessary? BJU Int 2006;97(3):547-50.

*van der Pal F, et al. Correlation between quality of life and voiding variables in patients treated with percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation. BJU Int 2006;97(1):113-6.

Vecchioli-Scaldazza C and Morosetti C. Effectiveness and durability of solifenacin versus percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus their combination for the treatment of women with overactive bladder syndrome: a randomized controlled study with a follow-up of ten months. Int Braz J Urol 2018 Jan-Feb;44(1):102-108.

*Yoong W, et al. Sustained effectiveness of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for overactive bladder syndrome: 2-year follow-up of positive responders. Int Urogynecol J 2013 May;24(5):795-9. Epub 2012 Sep 7.

Zecca C, et al. Maintenance percutaneous posterior nerve stimulation for refractory lower urinary tract symptoms in patients with Multiple Sclerosis: An open label, multicenter, prospective study. J Urol 2014 Mar;191(3):697-702.

Zecca C, et al. Posterior tibial nerve stimulation in the management of lower urinary tract symptoms in patients with multiple sclerosis. Int Urogynecol 2016 April;27(4):521-527.

*Key Article

KEY WORDS

Percutaneous/peripheral posterior tibial nerve stimulation, PTNS, SANS, Stoller afferent stimulation

CMS COVERAGE FOR MEDICARE PRODUCT MEMBERS

There is currently a Local Coverage Determination (LCD) for posterior tibial nerve stimulation. Please refer to the following LCD websites for Medicare Members: [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33396&ContrId=298&ver=6&ContrVer=1&CtrctrSelected=298*1&Ctrctr=298&name=National+Government+Services%2c+Inc.+\(13201%2c+A+and+B+and+HHH+MAC%2c+J++K\)&s=All&DocType=Active&bc=AggAAAQAAAA&](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33396&ContrId=298&ver=6&ContrVer=1&CtrctrSelected=298*1&Ctrctr=298&name=National+Government+Services%2c+Inc.+(13201%2c+A+and+B+and+HHH+MAC%2c+J++K)&s=All&DocType=Active&bc=AggAAAQAAAA&)